Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

Amendment to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (Previously presented) A method comprising:

using a fragmentation scheme constructed in terms of a plurality of fragmentation

dimension basis functions, fragmenting a database into a plurality of database fragments using a

plurality of fragmentation expressions, each of the plurality of fragmentation expressions

specifying a content of one of the plurality of database fragments, the fragmentation expression

including:

a boolean combination of a plurality of comparison-predicates, wherein

each comparison-predicate:

defines a range of a fragmentation dimension basis

function of one or more database fields;

processing a database query against the plurality of database fragments of the database,

based on the boolean combination of said one or more comparison-predicates; and

providing results of the processing to a user of the database.

2. (Previously presented) The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the processing of a

database query comprises:

resolving a data selection expression of the database query into a boolean combination of

fragment selection comparison-predicates wherein each fragment selection comparison-predicate

defines a range of one of the fragmentation dimension basis functions;

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1 Page 2 of 14

Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

identifying one or more eliminated database fragments based on the boolean combination

of fragment selection comparison-predicates and a fragmentation scheme; and

processing the database query against database fragments other than the eliminated

database fragments.

3. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 2, wherein the resolving of the data

selection expression into a boolean combination of fragment selection comparison-predicates

comprises:

identifying a comparison-predicate of the data selection expression, the comparison-

predicate including a comparison operator comparing a constant value with a candidate function

that depends upon one or more database fields; and

converting the identified comparison-predicate into one or more of the fragment selection

comparison-predicates.

4. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the converting comprises:

identifying the selected candidate function as equivalent to one of the fragmentation

dimension basis functions.

5. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the converting comprises:

applying a monotonic transform to the candidate function and to the constant value of a

identified comparison-predicate, the application of the monotonic transform converting the

candidate function into one of the fragmentation dimension basis functions.

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1 Page 3 of 14

Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

6. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 5, wherein the applying of a monotonic

transform comprises:

applying an extraction function to the candidate function and to the constant value of the

identified comparison-predicate.

7. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 6, wherein applying the extraction

function increases granularity, the comparison operator of the identified comparison-predicate is

an exclusive comparison operator, and the converting further comprises:

replacing the exclusive comparison operator with an inclusive comparison operator.

8. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 5, wherein the candidate function of the

identified comparison-predicate is an extraction of one of the fragmentation dimension basis

functions, and the applying of a monotonic transform comprises:

substituting the fragmentation dimension basis function for the candidate function of the

identified comparison-predicate; and

substituting a new value for the constant value of the identified comparison-predicate, the

extraction applied to the new value producing the constant value.

9. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 5, wherein the applying of a monotonic

transform includes:

applying a monotonic transform that changes granularity; and

Page 4 of 14

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1

Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

selecting an endpoint of a range of the transformed identified comparison-predicate to

ensure that the range of the transformed identified comparison-predicate includes the entire range

of the identified comparison-predicate.

10. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 5, wherein the applying of a monotonic

transform includes:

applying a monotonically decreasing transform to the candidate function and to the

constant value of the identified comparison-predicate; and

reversing a directionality of the comparison operator of the identified comparison-

predicate.

11. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the converting of the

identified comparison-predicate into one or more of the fragment selection comparison-

predicates includes:

converting the identified comparison-predicate into a fragment selection comparison-

predicate having a range that (i) is larger than the range of the identified comparison-predicate

and (ii) includes the range of the identified comparison-predicate.

12. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the converting of the

identified comparison-predicate into one or more of the fragment selection comparison-

predicates includes:

converting the identified comparison-predicate into a fragment selection comparison-

predicate having a smaller granularity than the identified comparison-predicate, an endpoint of

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1 Page 5 of 14

Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

the range defined by the fragment selection comparison-predicate being selected to include the

entire range of the identified comparison-predicate.

13. (Previously Presented) The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the processing of

a database query comprises:

recognizing the query as a row insert or row update operation including a plurality of new

record fields corresponding to database fields of the database;

computing fragmentation dimension values corresponding to the fragmentation

dimension basis functions using the new record fields as inputs; and

inserting or updating using the new record fields in an identified one of the database

fragments whose corresponding fragmentation expression is satisfied by the computed

fragmentation dimension values.

14. (Previously presented) A fragmented database embodied in a computer-readable

storage media, the database comprising:

a fragmentation scheme including:

(i) one or more fragmentation dimension basis functions wherein each

fragmentation dimension basis function depends upon one or more database fields, and

(ii) a plurality of fragmentation expressions, each fragmentation expression being

defined by:

a boolean combination of a plurality of comparison-predicates wherein

each comparison-predicate:

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1 Page 6 of 14

Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

defines a range of at least one of the fragmentation dimension basis

functions; and

a plurality of database fragments, each database fragment containing data satisfying a

corresponding one of the plurality of fragmentation expressions, thereby enabling improved

query efficiency by utilization of fragment elimination based on the fragmentation scheme

during query processing which produces query results for a user of the database.

15. (Previously presented) The fragmented database as set forth in claim 14, further

comprising:

a query processor performing a method including (i) receiving a database query and (ii)

processing the database query against the plurality of database fragments; and

a fragment elimination processor performing a method including:

(i) resolving a data selection expression of the database query into a boolean combination

of fragment selection comparison-predicates wherein each fragment selection comparison-

predicate defines a range of one of the fragmentation dimension basis functions, and

(ii) eliminating one or more of the plurality of database fragments from the processing of

the database query by the query processor, the eliminating being based on comparison of the

boolean combination of fragment selection comparison-predicates with the fragmentation

expressions.

16. (Original) The fragmented database as set forth in claim 14, wherein the one or more

fragmentation dimension basis functions comprise:

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1 Page 7 of 14

Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

a first fragmentation dimension basis function depending upon at least a first database

field; and

a second fragmentation dimension basis function depending upon at least the first

database field.

17. (Original) The fragmented database as set forth in claim 14, wherein the one or more

fragmentation dimension basis functions comprise:

a fragmentation dimension basis function that depends upon at least two database fields.

18. (Original) The fragmented database as set forth in claim 14, wherein the one or more

fragmentation dimension basis functions comprise:

a fragmentation dimension basis function that includes an extraction operator.

19. (Previously presented) A storage medium encoding program code for performing

database functions, the program code comprising:

program code for constructing a fragmented database including a plurality of database

fragments each being defined by a fragmentation expression, the fragmented database having a

fragmentation scheme constructed based on computed values of fragmentation dimension basis

functions, each fragmentation dimension basis function configured to compute the values based

upon at least one database field, the fragmentation scheme being defined by a boolean

combination of a plurality of comparison-predicates, in which each comparison predicate defines

a range of selected ones of said fragmentation dimension basis function; and

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1 Page 8 of 14

Amendment dated December 12, 2008

Response to Final Office action dated September 16, 2008

program code for inserting a new record into the fragmented database, the inserting

including (i) computing values of the fragmentation dimension basis functions using the at least

one database field of the new record, (ii) selecting a target database fragment based on the

fragmentation scheme and the computed values of the fragmentation dimension basis functions,

and (iii) inserting the new record into the target database fragment.

20. (Original) The storage medium as set forth in claim 19, wherein the program code

further comprises:

program code for performing a database query, the performing including (i) resolving a

data selection expression of the database query into one or more one-dimensional expressions

each dimensioned by one of the fragmentation dimension basis functions, (ii) identifying at least

one eliminated database fragment based on the one or more one-dimensional expressions and the

fragmentation scheme, and (iii) processing the database query against the database fragments

other than the at least one eliminated database fragment.

SVL920030114US1 011517.000008\1027641.1 Page 9 of 14